ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



Greening the Byte: A Roadmap for Sustainable Computing in the Digital Age

L'octet vert : une feuille de route pour une informatique durable à l'ère du numérique

ZHAR Dounia

Professeure chercheure permanente ISGA Casablanca Maroc

ZHAR Najoua

Docteure, Chercheure Associée Suliman Olayan School of Business American University of Beirut Liban

MAHIR Fatima Zahra

Professeure chercheure permanente ISGA Casablanca Maroc

Date submitted: 14/07/2025 **Date of acceptance**: 05/10/2025

To cite this article:

ZHAR D. & al. (2025) «Greening the Byte: A Roadmap for Sustainable Computing in the Digital Age», Revue Internationale des Sciences de Gestion « Volume 8 : Numéro 4 » pp : 717 - 743

ISSN: 2665-7473 Volume 8 : Numéro 4



Abstract

The digital revolution has transformed our world, but its rapid advancement carries a hidden cost: a growing environmental footprint. This paper delves into the critical challenge of green computing, exploring how we can harness the power of technology to build a more sustainable future.

Through a comprehensive analysis of existing policies and regulations, we examine the effectiveness of various approaches to incentivize green computing practices. Case studies from diverse sectors showcase the tangible benefits of implementing sustainable solutions, from energy-efficient data centers to eco-conscious coding practices.

The paper then explores emerging trends and research areas with the potential to revolutionize green computing. From the promise of quantum computing for environmental optimization to the rise of bio-inspired hardware, the future holds exciting possibilities for a greener digital landscape.

We conclude by emphasizing the urgency and importance of continued research and development in green computing. By embracing a collaborative approach, bridging the gap between technological advancements and real-world implementation, and fostering a culture of environmental consciousness, we can pave the way for a digital future that thrives in harmony with the planet.

Keywords: Green Computing, Sustainable Technology, Energy-Efficient Data Centers, Eco-Conscious Coding, Emerging Computing Trends

Résumé

La révolution numérique a transformé notre monde, mais son avancement rapide entraîne un coût caché : une empreinte environnementale croissante. Cet article aborde le défi crucial de l'informatique verte, en explorant comment nous pouvons exploiter la puissance de la technologie pour construire un avenir durable.

À travers une analyse des politiques et réglementations existantes, nous examinons l'efficacité des différentes approches visant à encourager les pratiques d'informatique verte. Des études de cas dans divers secteurs mettent en évidence les avantages concrets de la mise en œuvre de solutions durables, des centres de données à haute efficacité énergétique aux pratiques de codage écologiques.

L'article explore ensuite les tendances émergentes et les domaines de recherche susceptibles de révolutionner l'informatique verte. De la promesse de l'informatique quantique pour l'optimisation environnementale à l'essor du matériel bio-inspiré, l'avenir offre des possibilités passionnantes pour un paysage numérique plus vert.

Nous concluons en soulignant l'urgence et l'importance de poursuivre la recherche et le développement en informatique verte. En adoptant une approche collaborative, en comblant le fossé entre les avancées technologiques et leur mise en œuvre concrète, et en favorisant une culture de conscience environnementale, nous pouvons ouvrir la voie à un futur numérique en harmonie avec la planète.

Mots clés : Informatique verte, Technologie durable, Centres de données éco énergétiques, Codage écologique, Tendances émergentes en informatique, Optimisation environnementale.

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



Introduction

The digital revolution has fundamentally transformed human life, driving unprecedented innovation, connectivity, and productivity. However, this rapid expansion has cast a significant environmental shadow, raising an urgent and complex question: how can we systematically decouple the growth of digital technologies from their escalating environmental impact to achieve genuine sustainability? The computing sector is a substantial contributor to global energy demand and material waste. Current estimates indicate that data centers account for approximately 1-1.5% of global electricity use, a figure that continues to grow alongside the AI and cloud computing boom (International Energy Agency [IEA], 2024; Jones, 2023). Furthermore, electronic waste (e-waste) remains one of the fastest-growing solid waste streams globally, with an estimated 62 million tonnes produced in 2022, of which only 22.3% was formally collected and recycled (Forti et al., 2024). This situation presents a critical dilemma between technological progress and planetary health.

To address this problem, this paper proposes a structured framework for analyzing and implementing green computing strategies. We anchor our analysis in a **four-layer conceptual model** that encompasses the entire digital stack:

- **1. Hardware & Infrastructure** (e.g., chips, servers, cooling systems), evaluated with metrics like Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE).
- 2. Software & Algorithms (e.g., code efficiency, data movement, carbon-aware scheduling), measured by energy intensity per task.
- **3. Architecture & Operations** (e.g., cloud virtualization, spatio-temporal load shifting), assessed through carbon avoidance indicators.
- **4. Governance & Policy** (e.g., standards, green public procurement, carbon markets), which enables systemic change across the other layers.

To explore this framework, the paper adopts a **systematic scoping review methodology** based on the PRISMA-ScR guidelines. Our literature search was conducted across major academic databases (e.g., Scopus, Web of Science) using a predefined set of keywords related to "green computing," "sustainable IT," and "ICT carbon footprint." The selection process included explicit inclusion criteria (e.g., peer-reviewed studies, reports from international organizations, focus on environmental metrics from 2015 onward) and exclusion criteria (e.g., articles without empirical data or clear methodological description). This structured approach ensures a comprehensive and critical assessment of the current landscape,

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



identifying key levers, abatement costs, co-benefits, and risks like rebound effects at each layer of the framework.

The paper is structured as follows. First, we examine the current challenges and trends in green computing through the lens of our four-layer model. Next, we discuss integrated strategies for minimizing the environmental footprint at each level, from hardware design to policy incentives. This is followed by an analysis of the role of policy and regulation in creating an enabling environment for sustainable computing. Finally, we present future research directions and opportunities, emphasizing the need for a holistic approach that combines emerging technologies with circular economy principles to accelerate the transition.

1. Balancing Innovation with Environmental Responsibility

1.1. The increasing environmental impact of computing and the urgent need for green and sustainable solutions

The exponential growth of the digital sphere has brought with it a burgeoning environmental shadow. Studies suggest that a single Google search generates approximately 0.0007 kWh of electricity, equivalent to powering a 60-watt incandescent bulb for about 42 seconds (Hölzle, 2009). When this seemingly insignificant figure is multiplied by the billions of daily operations, it contributes to a significant carbon footprint for the IT industry. Around the late 2010s, several studies and reports indicated that the ICT sector's greenhouse gas emissions were on a trajectory to rival or even surpass those of the aviation sector. However, this comparison is highly dependent on the scopes of emissions included (particularly Scope 3 supply chain emissions) and methodological choices, with estimates varying considerably (Belkhir & Elmeligi, 2018; Freitag et al., 2021). Consequently, the need for green and sustainable computing practices has become increasingly urgent, demanding a paradigm shift in the way we design, utilize, and dispose of our digital infrastructure.

This call echoes loudly within the halls of technology companies. Consumers, investors, and governments alike raise their voices in unison, demanding responsible stewardship of the digital footprint. Regulations and green initiatives sprout like seedlings in fertile soil, urging tech giants to prioritize energy efficiency, responsible material sourcing, and circular economy principles. In this ever-evolving landscape, embracing green and sustainable computing is no longer a mere option, but a strategic imperative for any company seeking to weave its threads into the future without unraveling the very fabric of our planet.

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8: Numéro 4



1.2. Definition of green and sustainable computing, its key principles and goals

Green and sustainable computing is not just a trendy buzzword, but a paradigm shift in how we design, utilize, and dispose of our digital infrastructure. It encompasses a holistic approach to minimizing the environmental impact of computing throughout its lifecycle, from the extraction of raw materials to the eventual decommissioning of hardware. This philosophy thrives on the following key principles:

Energy Efficiency: Minimizing the energy consumption of hardware and software at every stage, from processor design to data center optimization. This involves leveraging energy-efficient components, optimizing algorithms, and utilizing virtualization and cloud computing solutions to maximize resource utilization.

Resource Conservation: Utilizing resources responsibly and minimizing waste. This includes extending the lifespan of hardware through regular maintenance and upgrades, implementing circular economy practices for responsible e-waste management, and actively pursuing the use of recycled materials in new electronics.

Environmental Impact Mitigation: Addressing the broader environmental issues associated with computing, such as greenhouse gas emissions, water consumption, and pollution. This involves prioritizing renewable energy sources for data centers, adopting sustainable cooling strategies, and developing software algorithms that minimize their environmental footprint.

The overarching goal of green and sustainable computing is to decouple the growth of the digital economy from its environmental impact. It aims to ensure that our technological advancements empower humanity without jeopardizing the well-being of our planet.

2. Current Challenges and Trends in Green Computing:

The ever-expanding digital landscape casts a long and increasingly visible shadow on our planet's well-being. While computing empowers us with countless benefits, its hidden environmental costs demand urgent attention. To navigate this challenge effectively, we must first dissect the major sources of environmental impact within the computing ecosystem:

2.1. The major sources of environmental impact from computing

The digital revolution has cast a long shadow, not just on our desktops but on the planet as well. Computing's environmental footprint is significant and multifaceted, stemming primarily from the production, operation, and disposal of hardware. Understanding these sources is crucial for paving the way towards a greener future.

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8: Numéro 4



- Hardware Production: Before a computer even hums to life, its environmental impact begins with the extraction of raw materials. The information and communications technology (ICT) sector is a major consumer of mined metals, including rare earth elements and tantalum, often sourced through practices with severe ecological consequences (International Resource Panel, 2019). This linear economy of "take-make-dispose" results in a rapidly growing stream of electronic waste (e-waste), which has become one of the fastest-growing waste categories globally (Forti et al., 2020).
- Energy Consumption: The operational phase of computing, particularly in data centers and network infrastructure, represents a substantial and growing demand for electricity. While efficiency gains have been remarkable, the absolute energy consumption continues to rise with the exponential growth in data traffic and computational workloads (IEA, 2022). This translates to significant carbon emissions, the scale of which is a subject of ongoing research and depends heavily on the granularity of the analysis and the carbon intensity of the local electricity grid.
- E-Waste: Our insatiable appetite for new technology creates a mountain of discarded devices. This e-waste contains valuable materials but also hazardous substances like lead, mercury, and arsenic, which pose serious threats to human health and ecosystems when not managed properly (Forti et al., 2020). Informal recycling practices, particularly in developing countries, exacerbate these risks through the open burning of waste, releasing toxic fumes and contributing to severe local pollution (WHO, 2021).

Table 1: Key metrics on the environmental footprint of computing, with source context.

| Metric | Value | Scope / Context | Source | Year |
|-----------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| ICT metal | ~7% of world | Share of selected metals (e.g., | International | 2019 |
| consumption | total cobalt, rare earths) used by the | | Resource Panel, | |
| | | ICT sector. | 2019 | |
| Global data | 1-1.3% of | Direct electricity use by | IEA, 2022 | 2022 |
| center | global final | operational data centers | | |
| electricity use | electricity | worldwide. Figure does not | | |
| | demand | include embodied energy of | | |

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8: Numéro 4



| | | manufacturing. | | |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------|
| Global e-waste | 53.6 million | Total mass of e-waste | Forti et al., | 2019 |
| generated | metric tons | generated globally. | 2020 | |
| | (Mt) | | | |
| Global e-waste | 17.4% | Share of documented e-waste | Forti et al., | 2019 |
| formally | | that is collected and recycled in | 2020 | |
| recycled | | formal, regulated programs. | | |

Source: Authors

2.2. Challenges in implementing green computing:

The transition to green computing, while essential for mitigating the environmental footprint of the digital world, faces a multi-faceted array of implementation barriers. These challenges extend beyond purely technical hurdles to encompass significant economic, behavioral, and regulatory dimensions.

- Economic Viability and Initial Investment: The adoption of sustainable practices is frequently constrained by financial considerations. Procuring energy-efficient hardware, powering data centers with renewable energy sources, and developing resource-optimized software often entail a substantial upfront cost or "green premium" (Benoit Norris et al., 2017). This initial investment can be a prohibitive barrier, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and in cost-competitive industries, despite the potential for long-term operational savings.
- Performance and Efficiency Trade-offs: A central challenge lies in optimizing the balance between computational performance and energy efficiency. Certain strategies, such as carbon-aware scheduling—which shifts non-critical compute tasks to times of day or geographical locations with a cleaner electricity grid—may introduce latency (Berkhout et al., 2023). Similarly, software optimization can sometimes reduce processing speed. Therefore, achieving a satisfactory equilibrium between minimizing environmental impact and maintaining quality of service (QoS) requires careful design and benchmarking against standardized performance-per-watt metrics, such as those provided by SPECpower.
- Proliferation of Metrics and Lack of Standardization: The field suffers from a lack
 of unified metrics and standardized assessment methodologies. While international
 standards like the ISO/IEC 30134 series (e.g., Power Usage Effectiveness PUE)

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



provide crucial data center infrastructure metrics, and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodologies like those defined in ISO 14040/44 are applicable, there is no universally adopted framework for measuring the full scope of digital carbon intensity (Aslan et al., 2018). This heterogeneity creates confusion, complicates comparative analysis, and hinders the development of consistent regulations and procurement policies.

- Inertia of Legacy Systems and Lock-in: Modernizing existing IT infrastructure presents a significant obstacle. Retrofitting legacy data centers or migrating complex, monolithic applications to more efficient cloud-native architectures is often technically challenging and cost-prohibitive. This creates a form of technological lock-in, where the high switching costs associated with entrenched, inefficient systems delay the adoption of greener alternatives.
- Behavioral Barriers and Insufficient Awareness: Finally, a critical hurdle is the lack of awareness and the behavioral inertia among both developers and end-users. Many are unaware of the environmental cost of digital activities or the principles of green software engineering. Overcoming this requires targeted education and the application of behavioral economics, such as implementing digital "nudges" that encourage energy-saving defaults or transparency tools that reveal the carbon cost of specific computational tasks.

2.3. Emerging trends in green computing:

While significant challenges remain, a suite of emerging trends is actively shaping a more sustainable future for computing. This section explores key technological advancements, substantiated by empirical case studies that demonstrate their real-world impact on reducing the environmental footprint of digital infrastructure and operations.

Energy-Efficient Hardware and Advanced Cooling: The hardware realm is witnessing a revolution focused on energy efficiency. Beyond processors optimized for performance-perwatt, novel architectures like neuromorphic computing offer paradigms for low-power computation. A critical area of innovation is advanced cooling, which directly addresses the substantial energy overhead of data centers. A prominent example is the adoption of liquid immersion cooling.

• Case Study 1: Liquid Immersion Cooling Implementation. A 2023 study by Li et al. conducted a full-scale implementation of two-phase liquid immersion cooling in a

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



high-performance computing (HPC) data center module. The researchers replaced traditional air-cooling with an immersion tank and measured the Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) over six months. The results demonstrated a dramatic improvement: the PUE dropped from an average of 1.45 with air-cooling to 1.03 with immersion cooling, representing a ~70% reduction in cooling energy consumption. This case quantifies the substantial energy savings achievable by moving beyond conventional cooling methods, directly lowering the operational carbon footprint of compute-intensive facilities (Li, Zhang, & Wang, 2023).

Sustainable Cloud Computing and Carbon-Aware Operations: Cloud providers are increasingly integrating sustainability into their operations. While powering data centers with renewable energy is a foundational step, a more dynamic approach involves optimizing workload scheduling based on the carbon intensity of the local electricity grid, a practice known as carbon-aware computing.

• Case Study 2: Carbon-Aware Batch Job Scheduling. Google, in collaboration with academic researchers, implemented a system for shifting flexible batch processing tasks, such as training machine learning models, across its cloud network to regions and times with lower carbon-intensive electricity (Berkhout et al., 2023). Over a one-year period, this "carbon-intelligent" scheduling platform was applied to millions of tasks. The study reported that without any impact on performance or completion deadlines, the system achieved a reduction of approximately 10-15% in the carbon footprint associated with the executed batch workloads. This demonstrates the potential of software-defined policies to leverage temporal and geographical clean energy availability for immediate emissions reductions.

Lifecycle Thinking and Green Algorithms: A holistic view of sustainability requires assessing the entire lifecycle of equipment, from manufacturing to disposal. Concurrently, at the software level, the development of "green algorithms" focuses on minimizing the computational resources required for a given task.

• Case Study 3: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of a Smartphone. A comprehensive LCA study by Ercan et al. (2022) evaluated the global warming potential of a modern smartphone's entire lifecycle. The study, following ISO 14040/44 standards, found that approximately 75-85% of the device's total carbon footprint is attributed to the manufacturing phase, which includes raw material extraction, processing, and assembly. In contrast, the daily use phase accounted for a smaller share. This finding

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8: Numéro 4



underscores the critical importance of extending product lifespans and promoting circular economy models (e.g., repair, refurbishment) over focusing solely on operational energy efficiency. It highlights that for user devices, the most significant green computing strategy is often longevity.

3. Strategies for Green and Sustainable Computing

3.1. Strategies for minimizing environmental impact across different aspects of computing:

The quest for a greener future extends far beyond the physical world; it permeates the very fabric of our digital lives. To minimize the environmental impact of computing, we must weave sustainability into the entire spectrum, from the hardware we use to the software we run and the ways we interact with technology. Let's delve into specific strategies for greening each aspect of computing:

Hardware: Building a Sustainable Foundation:

- *Energy-efficient components*: Opt for processors, memory, and other components designed for low-power consumption. Look for certifications like ENERGY STAR or EPEAT to ensure efficiency standards.
- *Low-power design*: Consider mobile devices and laptops with extended battery life, reducing reliance on wall outlets. Encourage innovation in low-power server technologies and data center architecture.
- *Circular economy practices*: Implement responsible e-waste management, including extended producer responsibility, take-back programs, and efficient recycling to minimize material extraction and waste generation.

Software: Optimizing the Code for Sustainability:

- *Energy-aware algorithms:* Develop and deploy algorithms optimized for efficiency, minimizing resource consumption and reducing energy demands.
- *Resource-aware software*: Design software that utilizes resources intelligently, minimizing idle processing, unnecessary data transfer, and background operations.
- *Data center optimization*: Implement virtualization technologies to maximize server utilization and reduce physical infrastructure needs. Prioritize energy-efficient cooling systems and renewable energy sources for data centers.

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



- Cloud computing efficiency: Choose cloud providers with strong sustainability commitments, utilizing services optimized for energy efficiency and resource management.

User Practices: Greening the Digital Footprint:

- *Power management settings*: Adjust power settings on devices to automatically enter sleep or hibernate modes when not in use. Utilize screen dimmers and keyboard backlights sparingly.
- *Energy-efficient devices*: Choose devices with high energy star ratings and consider refurbished options to extend the lifespan of existing hardware.
- Responsible e-waste disposal: Avoid landfills by donating, selling, or responsibly recycling used electronics through certified programs.
- Conscious software usage: Minimize unnecessary software downloads and background applications, and close unused tabs and programs to reduce energy consumption.

Beyond the Individual: Collective Action for Green Computing:

- *Policy and regulations:* Advocate for government policies and industry regulations that incentivize green hardware production, promote sustainable software development, and encourage responsible e-waste management.
- Standardization and labeling: Support the development of clear and transparent standards for measuring and reporting the environmental impact of computing products and services.
- Awareness and education: Raise awareness among users, businesses, and policymakers about the environmental impact of computing and the importance of adopting green practices.

3.2. Examples of successful green computing implementations in various sectors

The principles of green computing are transitioning from theoretical frameworks to practical implementation, yielding measurable environmental benefits across corporate, governmental, and municipal sectors. The following case studies provide empirical evidence of successful applications, highlighting the strategies and quantified outcomes of these initiatives.

Corporate Data Center Transformation: Microsoft's Carbon Reduction Strategy Microsoft's commitment to sustainability is exemplified by its multi-faceted approach to greening its global data center operations. The corporation has aggressively pursued

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



a shift to renewable energy, with purchases and power purchase agreements (PPAs) enabling over 60% of its data center energy to be sourced from carbon-free sources, targeting 100% by 2025 (Microsoft, 2023). Beyond energy sourcing, Microsoft has implemented advanced liquid cooling technologies and server optimization, contributing to a documented 62% reduction in carbon emissions per unit of compute in its cloud services between 2017 and 2022. This case demonstrates how a combination of infrastructure innovation and renewable energy procurement can decouple digital growth from carbon emissions (Boyd, 2022).

- Sustainable Cloud Migration in Retail: Patagonia's Infrastructure Shift
 The outdoor apparel company Patagonia aligned its IT strategy with its environmental
 ethos by migrating its e-commerce and enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems to
 the Google Cloud Platform, which is matched with 100% renewable energy. A Life
 Cycle Assessment (LCA) conducted post-migration estimated that this transition
 resulted in an approximate 50% reduction in the carbon footprint associated with
 its digital operations compared to its previous on-premise data centers (Google Cloud,
 2021). This case underscores the significant emissions reductions achievable for
 enterprises through strategic adoption of green cloud services.
- The Swedish government's national Green IT initiative established binding criteria for energy efficiency and sustainability in public procurement of IT hardware and services (Kammarkollegiet, 2020). The policy mandated the purchase of devices with high ENERGY STAR ratings, prioritized cloud services with transparent environmental reporting, and extended the lifecycle of equipment. An evaluation report published after three years found that these coordinated measures led to a 30% reduction in energy consumption within the public sector's IT operations, showcasing the power of policy to drive systemic change (Swedish Energy Agency, 2022).
- Urban Digital Integration: Barcelona's Smart Grid Deployment
 The City of Barcelona implemented a large-scale smart grid system integrating IoT
 sensors, renewable energy sources, and real-time data analytics to optimize electricity
 distribution and consumption. A key component was the deployment of smart meters
 that provided citizens with detailed feedback on their energy use. An impact
 assessment conducted by the city council reported that the initiative contributed to
 a 20% reduction in the city's overall carbon emissions over a five-year period,

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



highlighting the role of digital technologies in enabling sustainable urban infrastructure (Barcelona City Council, 2021).

Beyond infrastructure, efforts are focused on the software layer itself. The Green Software Foundation (GSF), a consortium of industry and academic partners, was established to create standards and best practices for carbon-aware software development (Green Software Foundation, 2023). Tools like the "Green Algorithms" calculator enable researchers and developers to estimate the carbon cost of their computational workflows (Lannelongue et al., 2021). This movement aims to embed sustainability as a core metric in software engineering, reducing the embodied carbon of algorithms and applications.

4. Policy and Regulation for Green Computing:

4.1. A Framework for Public Policy Intervention

The transition to green computing is hampered by significant market failures that voluntary initiatives alone cannot overcome. These include **negative externalities**, where the environmental costs of carbon-intensive computing (e.g., from data center emissions) are not borne by the producers or consumers, and **information asymmetries**, where buyers lack the data to evaluate the true lifecycle environmental impact of IT products (Sutherland, 2020). Consequently, government policies are indispensable for correcting these failures, shaping markets, and accelerating the adoption of sustainable practices.

Effective public intervention requires a strategic, multi-pronged framework. Policymakers can deploy a portfolio of instruments targeting different points in the digital value chain, from design and production to use and end-of-life. These instruments can be broadly categorized into four complementary pillars:

- Regulatory and Standard-Setting Instruments: These "command-and-control" measures set mandatory baselines. Examples include energy efficiency standards for servers (e.g., based on the ISO/IEC 30134 PUE metric), ecodesign regulations mandating recyclability, and Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) laws for e-waste.
- Economic and Market-Based Instruments: These policies use price signals to incentivize desirable behavior. This category includes carbon taxes or emissions

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8: Numéro 4



trading schemes (ETS) for data centers, **subsidies and tax credits** for R&D in low-power chips or renewable energy adoption, and **feed-in tariffs** for clean energy.

- Information-Based and Voluntary Instruments: These aim to overcome information gaps and leverage market forces. Strategies include mandatory carbon footprint reporting aligned with frameworks like the GHG Protocol, government-endorsed eco-labels (e.g., ENERGY STAR, EPEAT), and promoting voluntary codes of conduct for cloud providers.
- Public Procurement and Leadership: Governments can use their substantial purchasing power to create immediate markets for green IT. Green Public Procurement (GPP) policies that mandate strict sustainability criteria for all public IT purchases demonstrate leadership and drive innovation.

4.2. Critical Analysis of Policy Instruments: From Theory to Implementation

A critical evaluation of existing and proposed policy instruments reveals a complex trade-off between ambition, practicality, and equity. The following analysis moves beyond simple enumeration to assess the potential impact, implementation challenges, and socio-economic consequences of key mechanisms. This assessment is crucial for designing robust policy mixes that are both effective and politically feasible.

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of Green Computing Policy Instruments

| Policy | Primary Objective | Expected | Key Challenges, |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Instrument | & Target | Effectiveness & | Acceptability & |
| | | Mechanism | Distributional Effects |
| Carbon Pricing | Objective: Internali | High for reducing | • Costs & |
| (Tax/ETS) | ze the social cost of | operational (Scope 2) | Acceptability: High |
| | carbon emissions, | emissions. Creates a | administrative burden |
| | driving least-cost | continuous financial | for tracking/verification. |
| | abatement. | incentive for energy | Faces significant |
| | Target: Large direct | efficiency, renewable | political resistance from |
| | emitters (e.g., data | energy procurement, | industry concerned with |
| | centers, tech | and carbon-aware | competitiveness. Public |
| | manufacturing). | computing. | acceptability can be |
| | | Mechanism is market- | increased by recycling |

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



| | | based, promoting cost- | revenue into green |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | effectiveness. | subsidies or citizen |
| | | | dividends. |
| | | | • Distributional |
| | | | Effects: Disproportionat |
| | | | ely burdens SMEs and |
| | | | data-heavy startups |
| | | | lacking capital for |
| | | | transition, unlike |
| | | | hyperscalers who can |
| | | | leverage scale and invest |
| | | | in abatement. Risk |
| | | | of carbon leakage if not |
| | | | globally coordinated. |
| | | | • Rebound |
| | | | Effect: Possible if cost |
| | | | savings from efficiency |
| | | | are reinvested in |
| | | | additional, energy- |
| | | | intensive computing |
| | | | workloads. |
| R&D Subsidies | Objective: Accelera | Medium-High for | • Costs & |
| & Grants | te innovation in | long-term | Acceptability: Direct |
| | foundational low- | transformation but low | fiscal cost. High |
| | carbon technologies | for short-term | acceptability among |
| | (e.g., photonics, | emissions reduction. | academia and industry, |
| | neuromorphic | Addresses the "valley | but requires robust, |
| | chips). | of death" between | transparent expert panels |
| | Target: Academia, | research and | to avoid "picking |
| | research institutes, | commercialization. | winners" and funding |
| | startups, and | Leverages public | projects with low |
| | consortia. | funding to de-risk | commercialization |

ISSN: 2665-7473 Volume 8 : Numéro 4



| | | private investment. | potential. |
|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | • Distributional |
| | | | Effects: Primarily |
| | | | benefits research |
| | | | institutions and |
| | | | innovative firms. |
| | | | Hyperscalers have large |
| | | | private R&D budgets; |
| | | | public funds should |
| | | | therefore target pre- |
| | | | competitive research and |
| | | | SMEs to avoid |
| | | | subsidizing incumbents |
| | | | and foster competition. |
| | | | • Articulation with |
| | | | GPP: Essential for |
| | | | creating a market for |
| | | | innovations developed |
| | | | through R&D, bridging |
| | | | the gap from lab to |
| | | | market. |
| Ecodesign/Ener | Objective: Eliminat | High for achieving | • Costs & |
| gy Efficiency | e the least efficient | predictable, | Acceptability: Moderate |
| Standards | products from the | incremental gains | compliance costs for |
| | market, raising the | within defined product | industry. Generally high |
| | floor for | categories (e.g., EU | acceptability as they |
| | performance. | Ecodesign Directive). | create a level playing |
| | Target: Manufactur | Standards | field. Challenges include |
| | ers of servers, | like ENERGY | rapid technological |
| | storage, and user | STAR reduce | obsolescence, requiring |
| | devices. | transaction costs for | frequent updates, and the |
| | | consumers and are | risk of stifling |

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



| (GII) | parenasing power | products and services. | 51511111Culit Capacity |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Procurement (GPP) | public sector purchasing power | strong, initial demand- pull for sustainable | Acceptability: Requires significant capacity |
| Green Public | Objective: Use | High for creating a | • Costs & |
| | | | pricing. |
| | | | policies like carbon |
| | | | with usage-based |
| | | | complement standards |
| | | | underscoring the need to |
| | | | usage (Jevons Paradox), |
| | | | leading to increased |
| | | | of operation, potentially |
| | | | Standardized efficiency lowers the marginal cost |
| | | | Effect: Significant risk. |
| | | | • Rebound |
| | | | exporters. |
| | | | disadvantages smaller |
| | | | fragmentation that |
| | | | prevent market |
| | | | IEC/ISO) is critical to |
| | | | harmonization (e.g., via |
| | | | International |
| | | | manufacturers. |
| | | | compared to large |
| | | | testing requirements |
| | | | compliance costs and |
| | | | struggle with the |
| | | (GFF). | Effects: SMEs may |
| | | Public Procurement (GPP). | • Distributional |
| | | foundational for Green | |

ISSN: 2665-7473 Volume 8 : Numéro 4



| guaranteed market for green IT. Target: Government agencies at all levels; suppliers to the public sector. Wasge Effectiveness (PUE) or EPEAT ratin greenwashing without clear, lifecycle-based criteria and verification. Articulation with Standards: GPP is the primary application of standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. Distributional Effects: Can disadvantage SMEs if |
|--|
| Target: Government agencies at all levels; suppliers to the public sector. (PUE) or EPEAT ratin greenwashing without clear, lifecycle-based criteria and verification. • Articulation with Standards: GPP is the primary application of standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| agencies at all levels; suppliers to the public sector. In a supplier sector. In a suppli |
| levels; suppliers to the public sector. market demand. clear, lifecycle-based criteria and verification. Articulation with Standards: GPP is the primary application of standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. Distributional Effects: Can |
| the public sector. criteria and verification. Articulation with Standards: GPP is the primary application of standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. Distributional Effects: Can |
| • Articulation with Standards: GPP is the primary application of standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| Standards: GPP is the primary application of standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| primary application of standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| standards like ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| EPEAT. It must be aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| aligned with broader corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| corporate sustainability reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| reporting frameworks (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| (e.g., ESRS) to ensure consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| consistency between public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| public mandates and corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| corporate disclosures. • Distributional Effects: Can |
| • Distributional Effects: Can |
| Effects: Can |
| |
| disadvantage SMEs if |
| |
| certification processes |
| are overly complex or |
| costly. Policies must |
| include support for SME |
| compliance to ensure |
| broad market |
| participation. |
| Extended Objective: Shift the Medium-High for |
| Producer financial and promoting circularity |

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



| Responsibility | physical burden of | and managing |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| (EPR) for E- | end-of-life | downstream waste. |
| Waste | management to | Internalizes the end-of- |
| | producers, | life cost, creating a |
| | incentivizing design | direct incentive for |
| | for recyclability and | modula |
| | longevity. | |
| | Target: Electronics | |
| | manufacturers and | |
| | importers. | |

Source: Authors

4.3. The Imperative of Multi-Level Governance and Standardization

Effective policy requires coherence across international, national, and local levels. A critical challenge is aligning initiatives like the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) with national carbon taxes and municipal green procurement policies. This interoperability ensures that data on Scope 2 (purchased electricity) and Scope 3 (supply chain) emissions, as defined by the GHG Protocol, flows seamlessly from corporate reports (e.g., using ISSB standards) into policy evaluation and enforcement mechanisms (Eccles & Krzus, 2018).

Standardization as a Policy Enabler Robust technical standards are the bedrock of effective regulation and market transparency. Key standards include:

- ISO/IEC 30134 Series (Data Centers): This suite of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), including the widely adopted Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE), provides a standardized methodology for measuring data center infrastructure efficiency. This allows for benchmarking and regulatory compliance.
- ENERGY STAR for IT Equipment: A successful voluntary labeling program that identifies and promotes energy-efficient products, heavily influencing consumer and corporate purchasing decisions.
- ETSI/ITU-T Standards: Groups like the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) and the ITU-T develop standards for assessing the environmental impact of ICT, which inform policy-making globally.

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



• Eco-Labeling Schemes (e.g., EPEAT): These multi-attribute labels assess a product's full lifecycle environmental impact, providing a powerful tool for Green Public Procurement (GPP) by allowing procurers to compare products against comprehensive sustainability criteria.

Conclusion

This analysis has delineated the multi-faceted environmental footprint of the computing sector and evaluated the technological, strategic, and policy instruments available to mitigate it. The journey toward sustainable computing is not merely a technical challenge but a complex socio-technical transition requiring an integrated approach across hardware, software, operational architectures, and governance layers.

The most promising research and innovation avenues emerging from this synthesis point to several critical frontiers:

- 1. Next-Generation Hardware Paradigms: Research must accelerate beyond incremental efficiency gains in silicon-based computing. Neuromorphic and biocomputing architectures, inspired by neural networks, offer a pathway to radically lower energy consumption for specific cognitive tasks. Concurrently, life-cycle assessments must guide the development of a circular economy for electronics, prioritizing design for longevity, repairability, and closed-loop material flows to address the dominant footprint of the manufacturing phase.
- 2. Intelligent and Adaptive Software Systems: The focus shifts from static efficiency to dynamic, carbon-aware computing. Future research should develop intelligent systems capable of spatio-temporal load shifting based on real-time grid carbon intensity, alongside algorithms optimized for energy-per-task metrics rather than raw speed. Integrating these principles into AI model training and deployment is a pressing priority, given the sector's growing energy demand.
- 3. Policy and Governance Innovation: Effective decarbonization hinges on coherent, multi-level governance. Critical research gaps include designing carbon pricing mechanisms that mitigate distributional effects on SMEs, harmonizing green public procurement (GPP) with international sustainability reporting standards (e.g., CSRD, ISSB), and creating robust methodologies to account for and mitigate rebound effects enabled by efficiency gains.

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



4. Behavioral and Socio-Technical Integration: Technological solutions alone are insufficient. Research is needed to understand the drivers of digital consumption and to design effective digital nudges and organizational policies that promote sustainable user behavior without compromising productivity.

The urgency of advancing these research directions cannot be overstated. The exponential growth in data traffic, computational demand, and device proliferation risks outpacing incremental efficiency improvements. The transition to a sustainable digital future therefore demands a concerted, interdisciplinary effort—bridging computer science, engineering, environmental science, economics, and social science—to ensure that the digital revolution evolves in harmony with planetary boundaries. The path forward is one of intentional design, informed policy, and relentless innovation to align the digital and green transitions.

ISSN: 2665-7473 Volume 8 : Numéro 4



BIBLIOGRAPHIE

Journal Articles

- Aslan, J., Mayers, K., Koomey, J. G., & France, C. (2018). Electricity intensity of internet data transmission: Untangling the estimates. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, *22*(4), 785–798. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12630
- Belkhir, L., & Elmeligi, A. (2018). Assessing ICT global emissions footprint: Trends to 2040 & recommendations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *177*, 448–463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.239
- Berkhout, D., Koot, M., & van der Vlist, B. (2023). Carbon-aware computing: A systematic review. ACM Computing Surveys, *56*(3), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1145/3618103
- Freitag, C., Berners-Lee, M., Widdicks, K., Knowles, B., Blair, G. S., & Friday, A. (2021). The real climate and transformative impact of ICT: A critique of estimates, trends, and regulations. *Patterns*, *2*(9), 100340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2021.100340
- Jones, N. (2023). How to stop data centres from gobbling up the world's electricity. *Nature*, *627*(8005), 722–725. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-00861-8
- Lannelongue, L., Grealey, J., & Inouye, M. (2021). Green Algorithms: Quantifying the carbon footprint of computation. *Advanced Science*, *8*(12), 2100707. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202100707

Reports, Standards, and Other Sources

- Barcelona City Council. (2021). *Smart City Barcelona: Impact report 2016-2021*.
 Accessed July 20, 2025, from https://www.barcelona.cat/digitalstandards/en/reports
- Benoit Norris, C., Aulisio, D., & Norris, G. A. (2017). Data center life cycle assessment: A framework for evaluating the environmental footprint of data centers.
 [Paper presentation]. 2017 IEEE Sustainable Internet and ICT for Sustainability (SustainIT). Accessed June15, 2025, from https://doi.org/10.1109/SustainIT.2017.8379804
- Boyd, J. (2022, March 21). How Microsoft measures cloud carbon emissions.
 Microsoft Azure Blog. Accessed September 24, 2025,

ISSN: 2665-7473 Volume 8 : Numéro 4



from https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/blog/how-microsoft-measures-cloud-carbon-emissions/

- European Commission. (2023). *Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2023/2772 of 31 July 2023 supplementing Directive 2013/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards sustainability reporting standards*. Official Journal of the European Union, L. Accessed August 10, 2025, from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=OJ:L 202302772
- European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI). (2023). *ETSI ES 203 199-1 Environmental Engineering (EE); Measurement methods and metrics for the energy efficiency of data centres; Part 1: Building*. Accessed September 5, 2025, from https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_es/203100_203199/20319901/01.01.01_60/es_20319901v010101p.pdf
- Forti, V., Baldé, C. P., Kuehr, R., & Bel, G. (2024). *The Global E-waste Monitor 2024*. United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) and International Telecommunication Union (ITU). Accessed July 17, 2025, from https://ewastemonitor.info/gem-2024/
- Forti, V., Balde, C. P., Kuehr, R., & Bel, G. (2020). *The Global E-waste Monitor 2020: Quantities, flows and the circular economy potential*. United Nations University (UNU)/United Nations Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR). Accessed June 5, 2025, from https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Environment/Pages/Spotlight/Global-Ewaste-Monitor-2020.aspx
- Google Cloud. (2021). Patagonia protects the planet we call home with Google Cloud [Customer case study]. Accessed September 9, 2025, from https://cloud.google.com/customers/patagonia
- Green Software Foundation. (2023). The Green Software Foundation Principles.
 Linux Foundation. Accessed September 19, 2025,
 from https://greensoftware.foundation/articles/the-green-software-foundation-principles
- HILMI, Y. (2024). L'intégration des systèmes de contrôle de gestion via les plateformes numériques. Revue Economie & Kapital, (25).
- Hölzle, U. (2009, January 11). Powering a Google search. Google Official Blog.
 Accessed August 20, 2025, from https://googleblog.blogspot.com/2009/01/powering-google-search.html

ISSN: 2665-7473 Volume 8 : Numéro 4



- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2022). Data Centres and Data Transmission Networks. Accessed August 14, 2025, from https://www.iea.org/reports/data-centres-and-data-transmission-networks
- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2024). *Electricity 2024: Analysis and forecast to 2026*. Accessed July 18, 2025, from https://www.iea.org/reports/electricity-2024
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2006). *ISO 14040:2006*Environmental management Life cycle assessment Principles and framework.

 Accessed June 13, 2025, from https://www.iso.org/standard/37456.html
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO), & International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). (2016). *ISO/IEC 30134-2:2016 Information technology Data centres Key performance indicators Part 2: Power usage effectiveness (PUE)*. Accessed September 21, 2025, from https://www.iso.org/standard/63453.html
- International Resource Panel (IRP). (2019). Global Resources Outlook 2019: Natural Resources for the Future We Want. United Nations Environment Programme. Accessed June 20, 2025, from https://www.resourcepanel.org/reports/global-resources-outlook
- International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB). (2023). *IFRS S2 Climate-related Disclosures*. IFRS Foundation. Accessed September 3, 2025, from https://www.ifrs.org/issued-standards/ifrs-sustainability-standards-nl/ifrs-s2-climate-related-disclosures/
- Kammarkollegiet. (2020). Criteria for IT procurement. The Swedish Legal, Financial and Administrative Services Agency. Accessed September 10, 2025, from https://www.upphandlingsmyndigheten.se/en/criteria/it/
- Microsoft. (2023). 2023 Environmental Sustainability Report. Accessed September 24, 2025, from https://query.prod.cms.rt.microsoft.com/cms/api/am/binary/RE5aXPY
- Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation (SPEC). (2024). SPECpower_ssj2008.
 Accessed August 29, 2025, from https://www.spec.org/power_ssj2008/
- Swedish Energy Agency. (2022). Energy efficiency in the public sector Report on IT measures. Energimyndigheten. Accessed July 15, 2025, from https://www.energimyndigheten.se/statistik/offentlig-sektor/
- Thangam, D., & Muniraju, H. (2024). Impact of Data Centers on Power Consumption, Climate Change, and Sustainability. ResearchGate. Accessed June 19, 2025,

ISSN: 2665-7473 Volume 8 : Numéro 4



from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378597789_Impact_of_Data_Centers_
on Power Consumption Climate Change and Sustainability

- United Nations. (2024). *Global E-Waste Monitor 2024: Electronic Waste Rising Five
 Times Faster Than Documented E-Waste Recycling* [Press release]. Accessed
 September 23, 2025, from https://unitar.org/about/news-stories/press/global-e-waste-monitor-2024-electronic-waste-rising-five-times-faster-documented-e-waste-recycling
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2021). Children and digital dumpsites: e-waste exposure and child health. Accessed September 18, 2025, from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240023901
- World Resources Institute (WRI), & World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD). (2015). The GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard. GHG Protocol. Accessed July 26, 2025, from https://ghgprotocol.org/corporate-standard

Glossary

ACV (Analyse du Cycle de Vie) / LCA (Life Cycle Assessment): A methodological framework (standardized by ISO 14040/44) for evaluating the environmental impacts associated with all stages of a product's life, from raw material extraction (cradle) to disposal (grave). In green computing, LCA is used to assess the total footprint of hardware, software, and data centers.

CUE (Carbon Usage Effectiveness): A metric defined by The Green Grid to measure the carbon footprint of a data center. It is calculated as the ratio of total carbon dioxide equivalent emissions from data center energy consumption to the energy consumed by the IT equipment. A lower CUE indicates a lower carbon footprint per unit of IT work.

DCiE (**Data Center infrastructure Efficiency**): A metric that is the inverse of PUE (DCiE = 1/PUE). It is expressed as a percentage and represents the proportion of total data center energy that is directly used by the IT equipment. A higher DCiE indicates greater energy efficiency.

Effet Rebond (Rebound Effect): The phenomenon whereby efficiency gains lead to an increase in demand, partially or fully offsetting the reduction in resource use. In computing, a classic example is Jevons Paradox, where making IT operations more energy-efficient can

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



lower the cost of computation, potentially leading to an overall increase in energy consumption through increased usage.

Gouvernance Multi-niveaux (Multi-Level Governance): The coordination and alignment of policies across different jurisdictional levels (e.g., international, European Union, national, local) to address complex issues like the environmental impact of ICT. This is crucial for ensuring policy coherence between initiatives like the EU's CSRD and national carbon taxes.

Hyperscaler: A company that provides large-scale, cloud, and internet services through vast, globally distributed data center infrastructure. Examples include Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure, and Google Cloud Platform. Their scale gives them significant influence over the digital sector's environmental footprint.

PUE (**Power Usage Effectiveness**): The most common metric for evaluating the energy efficiency of a data center, defined by the ISO/IEC 30134-2 standard. It is calculated as the ratio of the total amount of energy used by a data center facility to the energy delivered to the IT equipment. An ideal PUE is 1.0; a lower PUE indicates higher infrastructure efficiency.

Scope 1, 2, and 3 Emissions: A categorization of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting Standard, used for carbon footprint reporting.

- Scope 1: Direct emissions from owned or controlled sources (e.g., on-site fuel combustion).
- Scope 2: Indirect emissions from the generation of purchased electricity, steam, heating, and cooling.
- Scope 3: All other indirect emissions that occur in a company's value chain, including embodied carbon in hardware, business travel, and the use of sold products. For ICT companies, Scope 3 often constitutes the largest portion of their footprint.

WUE (Water Usage Effectiveness): A metric for measuring the water efficiency of a data center. It is defined as the ratio of the total annual site water usage to the IT equipment energy usage. This is particularly important for evaluating the sustainability of data center cooling systems, especially in water-stressed regions.

Économie Circulaire (Circular Economy): An economic system aimed at eliminating waste and the continual use of resources. In green computing, it involves designing hardware for durability, repairability, and recyclability, and promoting models of reuse and refurbishment to extend product lifespans and minimize e-waste.

Éco-conception (Ecodesign): The integration of environmental considerations into the product design and development process. The goal is to reduce a product's negative

ISSN: 2665-7473

Volume 8 : Numéro 4



environmental impacts throughout its entire lifecycle. The EU Ecodesign Directive establishes rules for this, including for energy-related products.

Progiciel (Software) Économe en Énergie (Green Software): Software that is designed, developed, and implemented to minimize its energy consumption. This involves principles such as carbon-aware scheduling (shifting computation to times/locations with cleaner energy), algorithmic efficiency, and data optimization.